SENATOR BARNETT: Thank you very much for the time. Do you have anything else Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Yes sir. Senator Bereuter now moves to amend  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{K}} r$  . President.

SENATOR BARNETT: Senator Bereuter, LB 783.

SENATOR BEREUTER: What is the nature of the amendment?

CLERK: Read Bereuter amendment.

SENATOR BEREUTER: I wish to withdraw that. I believe that Senator Kremer has already offered a similar one.

SENATOR BARNETT: Unanimous consent to withdraw them. They are withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, I then have amendments offered by Senator Kremer and those are on page 2008 of the Legislative Journal.

SENATOR BARNETT: 2008 of the Journal? Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature the amendment that I am offering is a compromise to the amendment that Senator Bereuter offered. It only takes it part way. It does about two things only. It provides that the long range plans and the master plan, it reinstates the language on Page 2 of the bill Lines 21-27 and on page 3, Lines 1 and 12 which reinstates the members for a review of the MPDia plane. which reinstates the mechanism for a review of the NRD's plans and programs by a local planning and development district. Now, this is a district, it is a group of people that set up automatically and they have the right to review the plans as may be submitted by Natural Resource District. The language was also deleted because it was not being used. How-ever it does leave this agency in effect, and there is a feeling by Senator Bereuter and I agree with him that the mechanism should not be eliminated and the plan should be reviewed by the local authorities. So, there is no conflict. In re-instating the language the language on page 3, lines 12-21 reinstates the review by the central that is the SOP central planning and programming agency and it also leaves them in authority so that if necessary to avoid a conflict with state planning a review shall be subject to review by SOP. The new language that is added on page 5, line 3 requires the Natural Resources Commission to develop suggested guidelines only. We have no power of veto, but it does allow them to suggest guidelines to the NRD in providing and building their long range plans and the master plan. I move for the adoption of the amendments that we have all agreed upon. I think that they are good and they will provide for good planning on the part of the NRD's, subject to review.

SENATOR BARNETT: Question is the adoption of Senator Kremer amendments to LB 783. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote no. Senator Barnett voting aye.

CLERK: Senator Barnett voting aye.